

2006 Jamie Trahan , Autar Kaw, Kevin Martin University of South Florida United States of America kaw@eng.usf.edu http://numericalmethods.eng.usf.edu

Introduction

One of the most popular numerical techniques for solving simultaneous linear equations Naive Gaussian Elimination method. The approach is designed to solve a set of *n* equations with *n* unknowns, [A] [X] = [C], where $[A]_{nxn}$ is a square coefficient matrix, [X]_{nx1} is the solution vector, and [C]_{nx1} is the right hand side array.

Naive Gauss consists of two steps:

1) **Forward Elimination**: In this step, the unknown is eliminated in each equation starting with the first equation. This way, the equations are "reduced" to one equation and one unknown in each equation.

2) **Back Substitution**: *In this step, starting from the last equation, each of the unknowns is found.*

To learn more about Naive Gauss Elimination as well as the pitfalls of the method, clicl <u>here</u>.

A simulation of Naive Gauss Method follows.

Section 1: Input

Below are the input parameters to begin the simulation. This is the only section that requires user input. The user can change the values that are highlighted and Mathcad v calculate the solution vector [X].

 $\overrightarrow{ORIGIN} := 1$

• $[A]_{nxn}$ coefficient matrix

A ;=	(1	10	100	1000		
	1	15	225	3375		
	1	20	400	8000		
	(1	22.5	506.25	11391		

• $[RHS]_{nx1}$ right hand side array

	(227.04)
DUC.	362.78
кнз :=	517.35
	602.97

• Number of equations

n := rows(A)n = 4

Section 2: Naive Gaussian Elimination method

This section divides Naive Gaussian Elimination into two steps:1) Forward Elimination2) Back Substitution

To conduct Naive Gaussian Elimination, Mathcad will augment the [A] and [RHS] mat into one matrix, [C], that will facilitate the process of forward elimination.

C := augment(A, RHS)

	(1)	10	100	1000	227.04
C	1	15	225	3375	362.78
C =	1	20	400	8000	517.35
	(1	22.5	506.25	11391	602.97)

2.1: Forward Elimination

The following procedure conducts (n-1), or k, steps of forward elimination.

Defining the number of steps:

step := 1 .. n - 1

The following array stores the coefficient matrix that was generated for each step of forward elimination, the last matrix being an upper triangular coefficient matrix augment with the newly transformed right hand side array :

	[[1	l	10	100	1000	227.04	٢Ì
	()	5	125	2375	135.74	ŧ
	()	10	300	7000	290.31	
)	12.5	406.2	5 1039	1 375.93	3)
	(ĺ	10	100	1000	227.04)
f		0	5	125	2375	135.74	
forward_elimination(step) =		0	0	50	2250	18.83	
		0	0	93.75	4453.5	36.58)
		(1	10	100	1000	227.04	
		0	5	125	2375	135.74	
		0	0	50	2250	18.83	
		0	0	0	234.75	1.2737	

The upper triangular matrix and new right hand side array can now be extracted from the augmented matrix of the final step of forward elimination.

upper_triangular := submatrix(forward_elimination(n - 1), 1, n, 1, n)

upper_triangular = $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 10 & 100 & 1000 \\ 0 & 5 & 125 & 2375 \\ 0 & 0 & 50 & 2250 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 234.75 \end{pmatrix}$

RHSnew := submatrix(forward_elimination(n - 1), 1, n, n + 1, n + 1)

 $RHSnew = \begin{pmatrix} 227.04 \\ 135.74 \\ 18.83 \\ 1.2737 \end{pmatrix}$

This is the end of the forward elimination steps. Notice that the final row in the upper triangular matrix has only one unknown to be solved for. The new upper triangular coeff matrix and right hand side array permit solving for the solution vector using backward substitution.

2.2 Back Substitution

Back substitution begins with solving the last equation as it has only one unknown.

$$x_n = \mathbf{a} \frac{rhs_n}{a_n}$$
 Equation (2.1)

The remaining equations can be solved for using the following formula:

$$x_{i} = \mathbf{I} \frac{c_{i} - \sum_{j=i+1}^{n} \left[a_{(i, j)} \cdot x_{j}\right]}{a_{i, i}}$$
 Equation (2.2)

The procedure below calculates the solution vector using back substitution.

back_substitution :=	$a \leftarrow upper_triangular$	Renaming the new coefficient matrix.				
	$rhs \leftarrow RHSnew$	Renaming new right hand side array.				
	$\mathbf{x}_{n} \leftarrow \frac{\mathbf{rhs}_{n}}{a_{n,n}}$	Solving for the <i>n</i> th equation as it has only one unknown.				
	for $i \in n - 1, n - 21$	Defining remaining rows whose unknowns will be solved for working backwards				
	sum $\leftarrow 0$	be solved for working backwards.				
	for $j \in (i + 1) \dots n$	Defining column elements.				
	$sum \leftarrow sum + a_{i, j} \cdot x_{j}$	Calculating summation term in Eq. (2.2).				
	$\mathbf{x_i} \leftarrow \frac{\mathbf{rhs_i} - \mathbf{sum}}{\mathbf{a_{i,i}}}$	Using Eq. (2.2) to solve for x_i				
	х	Returning [X].				

The solution vector for the system of equations using Naive Gaussian Elimination metho

 $X := back_substitution$

$$\mathbf{X} = \begin{pmatrix} -4.228\\21.2599\\0.1324\\0.0054 \end{pmatrix}$$

Section 2: Exact Solution

The exact solution to the system of linear equations can be found using Mathcad's buil tools.

exactsoln := lsolve(A,RHS)

$$exactsoln = \begin{pmatrix} -4.228 \\ 21.2599 \\ 0.1324 \\ 0.0054 \end{pmatrix}$$

References

Autar Kaw, Holistic Numerical Methods Institute, http://numericalmethods.eng.usf.edu/mws, See How does Gaussian Elimination work? Effects of Significant Digits on solution of equations.

Conclusion

Mathcad helped us apply our knowledge of Naive Gaussian Elimination method to solve system of *n* simultaneous linear equation.

Question 1: The velocity of a rocket is given at three different times:

$$i := 1 .. 3$$

$$time_{i} := velocity_{i} :=$$

$$5sec$$

$$8sec$$

$$12sec$$

$$106.8 \frac{m}{s}$$

$$177.2 \frac{m}{s}$$

$$279.2 \frac{m}{s}$$

The velocity data is approximated by a polynomial as $v(t)={\color{black} a_1\cdot t}^2+{\color{black} a_2\cdot t}+{\color{black} a_3}\ , \qquad 5\leq t\leq 12$

The coefficients a_1, a_2, a_3 for the above expression were found to be given by

$$\begin{pmatrix} 25 & 5 & 1 \\ 64 & 8 & 1 \\ 144 & 12 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a_1 \\ a_2 \\ a_3 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 106.8 \\ 177.2 \\ 279.2 \end{pmatrix}$$

The coefficients a_1 , a_2 , a_3 using Naive Gauss Elimination. Find the velocity at t=6,7.5,9,11 seconds.

<u>Question 2:</u> Choose a set of equations that has a unique solution but for which the Naive Gauss Elimination method fails.